The information in this document has been used to support the preparation of the Local Plan. If you need assistance reading this document, or require it in a different format, please contact us via <u>email planning.policy@leicester.gov.uk</u>.

(SI MARTINS EAST)

or call on 0116 454 0085.

Leicester Local Plan 2020 to 2036

PAS Local Plan Route mapper Toolkit Part 4: Local Plan Soundness & Quality Assessment





PAS LOCAL PLAN ROUTE MAPPER TOOLKIT PART 4: LOCAL PLAN SOUNDNESS & QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Why you should use this part of the toolkit

The purpose of this assessment is to provide a 'mock' examination - as far as that is possible - of the drafts of your local plan policies update. It is intended to be particularly helpful for use as part of the development of your emerging local plan policies update and as a final check prior to publication of your Regulation 19 Submission Local Plan policies update. It will help you to identify areas for improvement and understand potential risks to the soundness of the plan or its usability.

How to use this part of the toolkit

There are 50 'key questions' in the assessment matrix below which might seem a lot to get through. But thinking through these questions now could save time and expense further down the line. If you are undertaking a partial plan policies update not all of the content will be relevant to you.

If you are completing this assessment or peer reviewing it for a colleague within or from another authority, you should put yourself into the mind of a Planning Inspector assessing the soundness of the draft local plan policies update by keeping in mind the 'tests' as follows. Is the draft local plan update:

- **Positively prepared** providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development;
- Justified an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;
- Effective deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
- **Consistent with national policy** enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the <u>National</u> <u>Planning Policy Framework</u> and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant.

September 2023

For some elements, particularly those concerning clarity, you will also need to consider yourself as an end user of the Local Plan policies update.

Provide a brief answer to each question <u>cross referring to evidence</u> that has informed or supports the local plan policies update in order to justify your reasoning and the score you have attributed. Identify any likely implications of not changing your approach or ways in which you may potentially improve the score either through changes to the plan policies update, evidence or further engagement with developers or infrastructure providers recorded in your statement of common ground. But remember that the local plan policies update doesn't need to be supported by reams of evidence. Evidence needs to be proportionate, clear and robust in line with <u>PAS advice on proportionate evidence</u>.

If you find it helpful, you can score your local plan policies update on the degree to which you meet requirements underpinning the question. You can then add up the scores to calculate your confidence in the local plan policies update (on a scale from -100 to +100) and use this as a benchmark for future improvements. Where a particular question is not applicable to your circumstances, please score +2.

How to use the results of this part of the toolkit

You can use the results of this tool throughout the plan making process to assess the extent to which your plan addresses key soundness requirements. There is no requirement to publish or submit this table to the Planning Inspectorate as part of the independent examination, but you may find the assessment (or some elements) helpful to inform changes to your plan or supporting documents.

| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. |
|---|--|--|
| | Growth Strategy | |
| A | In no more than 100 words (excluding any referencing) summarise your strategy for delivering growth and development in your area | The development strategy seeks to meet an identified need of 39,424 homes over the plan period (2020-2036) of which 20,730 will be met within the city boundary and 18,700 is being agreed to be accommodated in the wider housing market area. |
| В | In no more than 100 words (excluding any referencing) identify the key factors which informed the distribution of development in the local plan policies update | The distribution of development within the local plan has primarily been identified and guided by the supporting evidence base: Strategic Growth Plan Character Areas work/Central Development Area capacity study Site assessments/SHELAA Employment Land study – EDNA Sustainability Appraisal |
| C | List each of the main growth areas and strategic sites and the key infrastructure needed to support delivery | Central Development Area Ashton Green Former Western Park Golf Course Land north of A46 Land West of Anstey Lane Beaumont Park Infrastructure study and transport assessment have been carried out. A list of necessary infrastructure is in appendix 4 of the Local Plan which is supported by plan policies. |

| | | | | | | September 2023 | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| clearly articulate the strategy for | Overall does the local plan policies update clearly articulate the strategy for <u>where</u> and <u>how</u> sustainable development will be | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we do not meet No, we may not fully Unclear whether Yes, we are likely to | | | | | |
| 1. | delivered and that this is 'an appropriate | Reason for score: +2, I | meets requirements of I | NPPF para 35 | | | | |
| | strategy' within the context of paragraph 35 | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | | | |
| | of the NPPF? | Mitigation / Action re | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | · · · · | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| | Is it clear how the amount of development identified for any growth areas or major site | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | | |
| 2. | allocations has been determined – and that | Reason for score: +1, CDA delivery. | | | | | | |
| | the level proposed is deliverable and justified? | Implications of taking no further action: Questions will arise around CDA deliverability. | | | | | | |
| | Juonicui | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Further work around policy work in the CDA. | | | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | | |
| | Is it clear that the local plan policies update | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| | provides for the most appropriate level of housing growth using the standard methodology as a starting point? Can you | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | | |
| 3. | clearly articulate why planned growth levels should not be higher or lower? | is backed up by evider | nce. | sed on standard metho | od. Housing requiremer | nt is supply based which | | |
| | If you are proposing any material change | Implications of taking no further action: | | | | | | |
| | away from the level of housing indicated by | | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | | |
| | the standard method, can you clearly justify this through evidence? | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | | |
| | Does the level of housing provide for an appropriate and justified buffer? | | | | | | | |

| Assessment | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation | Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ | | | | |
| in respect of the need for, and approach to. this requirement meet this our plan meets this meet this our | 2 es, we are confident ur plan will meet this equirement | | | | |
| consistent basis having regard to the this requirement meet this our plan meets this meet this our | es, we are confident ur plan will meet this equirement | | | | |
| this requirement meet this our plan meets this meet this our | es, we are confident ur plan will meet this equirement nighton examination. | | | | |
| -2 -1 0 +1 +2 | 2 | | | | |

| | | | | | S | eptember 2023 | |
|----|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | |
| _ | Do site allocations include sufficient detail on the mix and quantum of development, | No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +1, c | No, we may not fully meet this requirement covered by local plan po | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | | |
| 7. | including, where appropriate any necessary supporting infrastructure? | Implications of taking no further action: Questions could be raised over deliverability of strategic i | | | | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action rec | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: inc | lude more detail direct | ly into site allocations. | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| D | What targets have you set for non- residential floorspace or employment land and, if relevant, the number of jobs to be created over the plan period? List these targets and the evidence source for this 'need' target? | Identified EDNA 2021 needs: - 46,000sqm office - 67ha employment land Targets: - 46,000sqm office - 44ha employment land | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | Where and how are the targets referred to above to be delivered? Do the sites and indicative capacities that you have identified | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | |
| 8. | demonstrate that these targets are achievable? If you are not allocating sites to | not allocating sites to | | | | | |
| | meet needs identified, can you justify and | | | | | | |
| | explain how those needs will be met? | Mitigation / Action red | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |

| | | | | | | September 2023 |
|-----|--|--|---|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | |
| 9. | Does the local plan policies update: (i) identify infrastructure that is necessary to support planned growth; and (ii) enable provision of this infrastructure? | transport. Implications of taking | No, we may not fully meet this requirement infrastructure is identifie no further action: Early quired (if necessary) to | review of the plan wo | uld be required. | |
| 10. | Can you demonstrate that the transport and other infrastructure needed to support <u>each</u> growth area or strategic site identified in the local plan policies update: (i) can be funded and delivered; and (ii) is supported by the relevant providers/ delivery agents in terms of funding and timescales indicated? Have you identified the extent of any funding gap? If so, are you able to explain why you are confident that any gap can be addressed? | to support the infrast Implications of taking | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement n infrastructure study h ructure needs of the stra no further action: quired (if necessary) to | ategic sites, but this ha | - | |
| | Process and Outcomes (see also Toolkit Parts 2 | 2 and 3) | | | | |
| E | What are the cross boundary strategic matters affecting your local plan policies update? List these. | Housing (inclusion) Employment Environment Infrastructure Waste | | nd education) | | |

| | September 2023 | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | | |
| | | - Cemeteries | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| | Does your Duty to Cooperate Statement(s) of Common Ground: (i) identify these issues; (ii) identify the bodies you have engaged | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | | |
| 11. | with or continue to engage with; and (iii) | Reason for score: +1, SoCGs are ongoing. | | | | | | |
| | clearly set out not just the process, but the | Implications of taking no further action: Unresolved matters. | | | | | | |
| | outcomes of this engagement highlighting | | | | to right: Continue active engagement with partners. | | | |
| | areas of agreement and of difference? | Reviewer Comments: | 4 | | | | | |
| F | Are there any aspects of the local plan policies update not in conformity with national policy (or where you will be relying on transitional provisions)? Please set these out and provide justification with reference to evidence for these. Are you satisfied you can robustly defend this on the basis of local evidence? | Local plan is in compliance with national policy | | | | | | |
| | For instance, are you seeking to require affordable housing on sites which are below the threshold of major development as defined by national planning policy? | | | | | | | |
| | Are there any specific policies in the local | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| 12. | plan policies update where there are | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | | |
| | differences to any policy approach set out in | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | | |
| | a relevant strategic planning framework (e.g. | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | | |

| | | | | | | September 2023 | |
|-----|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | |
| | the London Plan, or a plan produced by a | Reason for score: +2, I | ocal plan is in complian | ce with the non-statute | ory strategic growth pla | an. | |
| | Combined Authority or through voluntary | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | | |
| | agreement). | Mitigation / Action re | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | Is the local plan policies update: | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | |
| | | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | |
| | • in conformity with any 'higher level' | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | |
| 13. | plans prepared by the Council; and | Reason for score: +2, N/A | | | | | |
| | | Implications of taking no further action: | | | | | |
| | • properly reflecting provisions of any | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: | | | | | |
| | made neighbourhood plan? | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | Does your Consultation Statement | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | |
| | demonstrate how you have complied with | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | |
| | the specific requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Plan) (England) | - | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | |
| 14. | Regulations 2012 and the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement to date [you should revisit and update this | Reason for score: +2, original Statement of Community Involvement was adopted in 2019 and a temporary addendum was added to introduce new options in response to the Covid pandemic 2020. The Statement of Consultation clearly outlines how the council has complied with the requirements of the regulations and is supported by four appendices. | | | | | |
| | following the publication of your Regulation 19 local plan policies update]? | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | | |
| | To local plan policies apuate]: | | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | Has the Sustainability Appraisal – | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| 15. | incorporating the requirements of the | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | |
| | Strategic Environmental Assessment | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | |
| | legislation - evaluated all reasonable | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | |

| | | | | | | September 2023 | | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | | |
| | alternatives? Is it clear why alternatives have not been selected? | Regulation 19 consult | | ves were evaluated, and | d the SA was updated in | n advance of the | | |
| | | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action re | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| | Does the Sustainability Appraisal adequately | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | | |
| 16 | assess the likely significant effects of policies | | | | | | | |
| 10. | 16. assess the likely significant effects of policies and proposals? | Implications of taking no further action: Issue may be raised at examination. | | | | | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: | | | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | | |
| | | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | | |
| | | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | | |
| | Is it clear how the Sustainability Appraisal | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | | |
| 17. | has influenced the local plan policies update including how any policies or site allocations have been amended as a result and does it | - | mitigations have been c account. Policies were a | | · · · · | olicy recommendations he with paragraph 32 of | | |
| | show (and conclude) that the local plan | Implications of taking | | | | | | |
| | policies update is an appropriate strategy? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | September 2023 | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | |
| | Is it clear how an Equalities Impact | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | |
| 18. | Assessment has influenced the local plan | | we have worked with th | - | feam to assess the impa | acts of the plan. It has | |
| 10. | policies update? | been reviewed regularly throughout the plan process. | | | | | |
| | | Implications of taking | | | | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: | | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | |
| | | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | |
| | | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | |
| 19. | Does the Habitats Regulations Assessment consider the local plan policies update in | Reason for score: +2, It considers plan policies and has been updated to reflect recent changes to national guidance (e.g., nutrient neutrality). | | | | | |
| | combination with other plans and projects? | Implications of taking | | | | | |
| | | | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | If the Habitats Regulations Assessment has | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | identified, through 'Appropriate | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident | |
| | Assessment' that mitigation measures are | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this | |
| 20. | required, does the local plan policies update | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | |
| | adequately identify the measures required | Reason for score: +2, | N/A | | | | |
| | and the mechanisms for delivering them? | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action re | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | rk sites within Leicester | and policies will not ha | ve a cumulative impact | |
| 21. | Is it clear how the outcomes and conclusions of the Habitats Regulations Assessment have | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +2, I | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement N/A | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| | influenced the local plan policies update? | · · · | no further action: quired (if necessary) to r Doesn't affect Leicester | | gs. | |
| | Housing Strategy | | | | | |
| 22. | Can you demonstrate that the policies and proposed allocations in your local plan policies update meet your housing requirement in full and that this can be achieved as a minimum? If not [for instance, because another local authority has agreed to plan for your unmet need], can you explain and robustly justify why? | as we cannot meet ou Ground relating to Ho yet to sign the Statem Implications of taking be able to meet its ho | r overall housing need v using and Employment I ent of Common Ground no further action for loc | vithin the city boundar Land Needs with the Le cal plan soundness and | ies we have agreed a S icestershire authoritie /or effectiveness: The | s. Two authorities are city council would not |
| | | authorities. Reviewer Comments: | , , , , | | | |

| G | KEY QUESTIONS Is there any unmet need in neighbouring areas that you have been formally asked to accommodate? If yes, then list the amount by each local authority area. | may include any s | | Ground - both Examin | e document(s) in the pla ation focused and in rele e sources, including ider | • |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|
| 23. | Does your local plan policies update accommodate any of this unmet need where you can sustainably to do so? | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +2, I Implications of taking Mitigation / Action re Reviewer Comments: | | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| 24. | Is there a housing trajectory which illustrates the expected rate of housing delivery and ensures the maintenance of a 5-year supply during the plan period? Is your strategy for delivery and implementation clearly articulated and justified to support the trajectory? | Implications of taking Mitigation / Action re Reviewer Comments: | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 |

| | | | | | | September 2023 |
|-----|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | |
| 25. | Can you confirm: (i) that the local plan policies update will provide for a 5-year supply of specific deliverable sites on adoption; and (ii) that beyond this 5 year period sites are developable and (iii) if relevant, you have included a 5 or 20 percent buffer to deal with under-delivery. | supply on adoption, a land supply will includ Implications of taking | No, we may not fully meet this requirement with unmet need taken nd we have identified de the relevant buffer up no further action: quired (if necessary) to | evelopable sites beyon oon adoption. | | |
| 26. | Does the level of supply provide any 'head room' (that is additional supply above that required) to enable you to react quickly to any unforeseen changes in circumstances and to ensure that the full requirement will be met during the plan period? | Implications of taking | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement the proposed housing ta no further action: quired (if necessary) to | | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement anticipated supply, in | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement cludes an 11% buffer. |
| 27. | Is the Council reliant on the delivery of any 'windfall' sites (sites not specifically identified in the development plan) during the plan period and if so, how many and when? Is there compelling evidence to confirm that such sites will continue to come forward? | is based on past trend Implications of taking | | | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement annum for later years | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement of the plan period, this |

| | | | | | • | September 2023 |
|-----|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 |
| | | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident |
| | | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this |
| | | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement |
| | Does the local plan policies update make it | Reason for score: +2, t | the local plan's housing | | | |
| 28. | clear what size, type and tenure of housing | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | |
| | is required? | | | | | |
| | is required: | • | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 |
| | | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident |
| | | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this |
| | | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement |
| 29. | Does the local plan policies update | Reason for score: +2, the local plan's housing policy is based upon latest evidence, which is in compliance with NPPG. | | | | |
| | specifically address the needs of different | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | |
| | groups in the community? | Mitigation / Action re | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 |
| | Can your affordable housing requirements, | No, we do not meet | No, we may not fully | Unclear whether | Yes, we are likely to | Yes, we are confident |
| | including any geographical variations, be | this requirement | meet this | our plan meets this | meet this | our plan will meet this |
| 30. | justified? | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement |
| | Dess the less along a lister undets and the | Reason for score: +2, | geographical variations | have been informed by | relevant evidence. Pla | n policies seek a |
| | Does the local plan policies update provide | affordable housing red | quirement based upon v | viability. | | |
| | for the delivery of the full need for | Implications of taking | no further action: | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | KEY QUESTIONS affordable housing? If not, can you explain and justify why? | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|-------|--|
| 31. | Have the needs for travellers and travelling showpeople been adequately assessed in accordance with national policy and have they been based on robust evidence? Does the local plan policies update make adequate provision for the identified needs? | identified needs. Will Implications of taking | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement evidence base is predon be considered as part o no further action: Will b quired (if necessary) to | f plan review. De raised at examination | · · · | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement te provision for the |
| 32. | Will the local plan policies update provide for a 5-year supply of deliverable travellers and travelling showpeople pitches to meet identified needs? | Implications of taking | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement he Local Plan allocates no further action: may quired (if necessary) to | be raised at examinatio | | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement the plan period. |
| H | <i>List any</i> travellers and travelling showpeople sites identified to meet need and the timescales for their delivery | Beaumont Park – Tran | rse – 7 Permanent pitch sit, 10+ years 'ian Road Open Space – ' | | | |

| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Justified approaches to plan policy and conten | t | | | | |
| 33. | Where thresholds are set in policies which trigger specific policy requirements, are these thresholds justified by evidence and is this clear in the supporting text? | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| [You may wish to check each policy setting a threshold] | Reason for score: +2, thresholds are justified by evidence and explained in supporting text. Implications of taking no further action: Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| 34. | Does the local plan policies update avoid deferring details on strategic matters to other documents? If it does, is it clear why matters will be covered in other Development Plan Documents or Supplementary Planning Documents and why this is appropriate? | we are proposing to d Implications of taking | | | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement mentary planning docu | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement ments, it is clear why |
| 35. | Where the local plan policies update defines a hierarchy do policies throughout the Plan consistently: (i) reflect this hierarchical approach; (ii) make clear the level of protection afforded to designations depending on their status within the | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +1, o and HE02 | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement engagement ongoing wi | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not th Historic England rega | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement arding their representat | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement ions on policies HE01 |

| | | | | | 50 | eptember 2023 | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | |
| | hierarchy; and (iii) is the approach consistent | Implications of taking | no further action: Could | d be brought up at exam | ination | | |
| | with National Policy? | Mitigation / Action ree | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: Fur | ther discussion through | DtC meetings | |
| | [For example, hierarchies could relate to nature conservation, heritage assets, town centres/retail, settlements.] | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | Where policies seek to limit certain uses, is this justified by evidence and is the rationale clear in the supporting text to the policy and in the evidence. | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | |
| 36. | in the evidence. | Reason for score: +1, Class E is complex, and some discussion will be expected at examination | | | | | |
| | [For example, policies relating to town | Implications of taking no further action: as above | | | | | |
| | centres, employment or retail may seek to | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Minor changes to policies | | | | | |
| | limit certain uses.] | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | Is it clear that any standards proposed for development are justified and deliverable, taking into account the scale of the development? Where relevant, are they | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | |
| 37. | consistent with the principles set out in the National Design Code and National Model | Reason for score: +2, proposed standards are in line with the National Design Code and the National Model Design Code | | | | | |
| | Design Code? | Implications of taking no further action: | | | | | |
| | For everyle ensite provision of ener | <u> </u> | quired (if necessary) to | move scale to right: | | | |
| | [For example, onsite provision of open space, optional technical standards, internal and external space standards.] | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | September 2023 |
|-----|--|--|--|--------------------------|----------|---|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections, paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | |
| | Deliverability | | | | | |
| 38. | Has the viability of the local plan policies update been suitably tested and does this testing cover all requirements including in respect of any required standards, affordable housing provision and transport and other infrastructure needs and if relevant the implications of CIL? | transport infrastructu Implications of taking | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement recent experience at a n re no further action: poter quired (if necessary) to r | ntial delays to the exam | nination | |
| 39. | Does the local plan policies update reflect the conclusions and recommendations of your viability evidence? Is it clear the viability and delivery of development will not be put at risk by the requirements in the local plan policies update? | | | | | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| 40. | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 |

| | | | | | | September 2023 |
|-----|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | |
| | Does the monitoring framework clearly set out what matters will be monitored, and the indicators used? Are these measurable and can the data be readily secured/captured? | Implications of taking | No, we may not fully meet this requirement ome policy indicators an no further action: will b quired (if necessary) to ning inspector | e raised at examinatio | n | |
| 41. | Does the local plan policies update and monitoring framework identify a clear framework for <u>plan review</u> ? Where triggers for plan review and/or update are identified are they justified and proportionate? | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +2, I Implications of taking Mitigation / Action re Reviewer Comments: | - | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not move scale to right: | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| | Plan effectiveness (and associated policy clarit | :y) | | | | |
| 42. | Does the local plan policies update clearly set out the timeframe that it covers? Is it clear which policies are strategic? Will the strategic policies provide for a minimum of 15 years <u>from adoption?</u> Does the evidence relied on to support those policies correspond/cover this whole period? Where larger scale developments are proposed as part of the strategy, does the vision look further ahead (at least 30 years)? | 15-year plan period fr Implications of taking | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement lue to the nature of hou om adoption at this poin no further action: the p quired (if necessary) to p | nt. lan will not be 15 years | s in length | |

| | | | | | • | September 2023 | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | |
| 43. | Does the local plan policies update clearly set out which <u>adopted</u> Development Plan | Leicester Local Plan (2 | it is explicit in the plan t 006) will be superseded | hat the Core Strategy (I. However, the plan do | pesn't specifically ment | | |
| | policies it supersedes? | Implications of taking | no further action: prior | SPDs would need to be | e revoked individually | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: amend plan to specifically include the revocation of SPDs | | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this requirement | Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement | |
| 44. | Are the objectives the policies are trying to achieve clear, and can the policies be easily | Reason for score: +2, we are confident that the objectives that the policies are trying to achieve are clear, and the policies can be easily used and understood. | | | | | |
| | used and understood for decision making? | Implications of taking no further action: | | | | | |
| | | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: | | | | | |
| | | Reviewer Comments: | | | | | |
| | | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | |
| | For each policy area you have designated or defined in the Plan: (i) are these clearly | No, we do not meet this requirement | No, we may not fully meet this | Unclear whether our plan meets this | Yes, we are likely to meet this | Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this | |
| 45. | referenced and explained in the Plan; <u>and</u> (ii) | | requirement | requirement or not | requirement | requirement | |
| -3. | clearly defined on the Policies Map? | Reason for score: +1, some comments have been raised at the Regulation 19 consultation regarding issues with maps and diagrams | | | | | |
| | Where you have included maps or graphics | | no further action: maps | and diagrams will be i | ncorrect | | |
| | within the local plan policies update are | | • | - | | grams | |
| | | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: amend the maps and diagrams | | | | | |

| | <i>KEY QUESTIONS</i> these legible and is it clear if and how they are to be used in decision making? | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. Reviewer Comments: Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement |
| 46. | Does each local plan policies update policy: (i) make clear the type of development it will promote; (ii) use positive rather than negative wording? | Reason for score: +2, policies are clear in the type of development they aim to promote, and are worded positively Implications of taking no further action: Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
| 47. | Do policies make clear where they are intended to be applied differently for the purposes of decision-making dependent on (i) scale; (ii) use; or (iii) location of development proposed. [Note: If you have said 'all development' this implies equal application irrespective of the development scale/use/location and this may not be either justified or deliverable] | which is made clear in Implications of taking | no further action: quired (if necessary) to | | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement differently and are jus | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement tified accordingly, |
| I | State how many policies are in your local plan update? Can you list any policies within the local plan update that: (i) repeat parts of other policies within the plan; (ii) replicate or repeat | 103 Policies See local plan for full | list of policies. | | | |

| | KEY QUESTIONS paragraphs in the NPPF (iii) cross reference other policies. | may include any s | • • | n Ground - both Examin | e document(s) in the pla ation focused and in rel e sources, including ider | • |
|-----|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 48. | Based on the above, have you tried to avoid unnecessary repetition (of the NPPF or other policies within the local plan policies update) and cross referencing in policies?48.If you find duplication or repetition you may want to take minute to consider whether this is appropriate. | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +2, o | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement cross references betwee | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not en policies are appropri | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement ate, e.g., Employment | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement and Transport policies |
| | | Implications of taking no further action: Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
| | Do policies avoid duplicating other | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +2, t | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement to provide clarity brief n | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not mentions of other regul | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement atory requirements has | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement we been mentioned in |
| 49. | 49. building regulations)? | policies, mainly to provide benchmarks. Implications of taking no further action: Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Reviewer Comments: | | | | |
| 50. | Does the wording of plan policies avoid ambiguity? Are requirements clear to the decision-maker? | -2 No, we do not meet this requirement Reason for score: +2, t to ensure that require | -1 No, we may not fully meet this requirement the Council's Developme | 0 Unclear whether our plan meets this requirement or not ent Management team | +1 Yes, we are likely to meet this requirement have played an active | +2 Yes, we are confident our plan will meet this requirement role in policy wording |

| KEY QUESTIONS | Assessment Note: In answering the questions, you should be able to reference the document(s) in the plan evidence base (which may include any Statement(s) of Common Ground - both Examination focused and in relation to the Duty to Cooperate). Try to be as precise as possible when referencing evidence sources, including identifying specific sections/ paragraphs where appropriate. |
|---|--|
| [For instance, policies should avoid usin overly subjective terms such as "to the Council's satisfaction", "considered necessary by the Council" or "appropria without associated clarification.] | Mitigation / Action required (if necessary) to move scale to right: Reviewer Comments: |

| Date of assessment: | 03/08/2023 |
|---------------------|---|
| Assessed by: | Internally |
| Checked by: | Internally |
| Overall Score: | 75/100 |
| Comments: | The council has used this document as a self-assessment and has been checked internally. This is intended to be an informal document. |